Case 5:07-cv-01394-JF Document 4 Filed 06/13/07 Page 1 of 3

E-filed 6/13/07

1 2 3	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT DAVID BAKER Robert David Baker, Esq. (87314) Tim Reed, Esq. (242578) 1611 The Alameda San Jose, CA 95126	
4	(408) 292-8555 Fax (408) 292-0703	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, DANNY GONZALES	
6	DANIAL GOLEANDES	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	DANNY GONZALES,	CASE NO. C 07 01394 JF
11	Plaintiff,	
12	VS.	
13	CHARLES KINNEY; EARTHQUAKE READINESS, INCORPORATED, A	PLAINTIFF'S CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
14	Suspended Corporation;	(LOCAL RULE 16-9)
15	Defendants.	DATE: JUNE 15, 2007
16		DATE. JONE 13, 2007
17		TIME: 10:30 A.M.
18		DEPT: HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL
19		DEFT. HONORABLE JEREMIT FOGEL
20 21		
22		
23		j
24		
25	Management Statement.	
26		
27		
28		
ı		

Gonzales v. Charles Kinney, et al No.: C07 01394 JF

1	1. Brief Description Of Events Underlying This Action.	
2		
3	This is a claim under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the California Labor Code for	
4	payment of overtime wages, failure to pay on regular paydays and for misrepresentation.	
5		
6	2. Principal Factual Issues In Dispute.	
7		
8	The factual matters in dispute are whether: (1) Plaintiffs worked more than 8 hours in a	
9	workday; (2) Plaintiffs worked more than 40 hours in a workweek; (3) Plaintiffs worked more than	
10	12 hours per day; (4) Plaintiffs worked more than 60 hours per week; and (5) the nature and extent	
11	of Plaintiffs' job duties.	
12		
13	3. Principal Legal Issues In Dispute.	
14		
15	The legal matters in dispute are whether: (1) the California Labor Code provides the	
16	exclusive remedies in lieu of a simultaneous FLSA claim; (2) Plaintiffs can recover punitive	
17	damages; (3) whether Plaintiffs were non-exempt employees; and (4) whether the individual	
18	defendants were employers under the FLSA.	
19		
20	4. Other Factual Issues Which Remain Unresolved.	
21		
22	None.	
23		
24	5. Parties That Have Not Been Served And The Reasons.	
25		
26	Charles Kinney was served by substitute service on May 26, 2007. Therefore, it is requested	
27	that the Case Management Conference be continued to a time sufficient for Charles Kinney to be	
28	required to answer to this court. Plaintiff will continue to attempt service upon Charles Kinney.	

Case 5:07-cv-01394-JF Document 4 Filed 06/13/07 Page 3 of 3 Request To Continue Case Management Conference. 6. 1 Plaintiff requests that this court continue the Case Management Conference to such a date as 2 is convenient with the court. 3 4 5 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT DAVID BAKER DATED: June 1, 2007. 6 By 7 Robert David Baker, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff 8 Danny Gonzales 9 10 **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER** 11 12 The Case Management Conference is continued to July 27, 2007. 13 14 15 6/13/07 DATED: 16 17 18 19 HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28